## Effect of nitrogenous fertilization to rice crop on the incidence of rice sheath mite, Steneotarsonemus spinki Smiley

## K.A. Patel\*, M.S. Purohit and K.G. Patel

\*AINP on Agricultural Acarology, Department of Entomology, N.M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari-396 450, Gujarat India

## **ABSTRACT**

Studies on the effect of different levels of nitrogen to rice crop on the incidence of rice sheath mite, Steneotarsonemus spinki Smiley was conducted at the College Farm, N.M. College of Agriculture, Navsari, Gujrat during wet season. The results indicated that unfertilized rice crop had significantly lower number of mites (21.56 mites leaf sheath<sup>-1</sup>) and discolored leaf sheaths (5.50 cm) than fertilized crop. It showed that the growth of rice plants as influenced by nitrogen level had definite bearing on incidence of mite. The results further indicated that mite population had a tendency to increase in number with an increase in nitrogenous fertilization to rice plants.

Key words: rice, sheath, mite, Steneotarsonemus spinki, incidence, nitrogen

The rice sheath mite, directly or indirectly causes considerable amount of quantitative and qualitative losses in rice. The higher doses of nitrogen fertilization encourage the luxuriant plant growth which produces plant more attractive as oviposition site for rice insect pests. The vigorous growth of plant due to nitrogen application created microclimate suitable for the multiplication of pest. Balasubramanian et al., (1983) reported that nitrogenous fertilizers produced large and more succulent plant of rice making it more susceptible to different sucking pests including mites than those grown at lower nitrogen levels. Doval et al. (1974) reported that nitrogen levels were directly proportionate to the population response of wheat mite, *Petrobia* lateens, Muller. Such information of different levels of nitrogen application on S. spinki is lacking.

A field experiment was conducted at College Farm, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari during wet season. Rice variety Jaya was transplanted at a spacing 20 x15 cm in ransomised block design with four replication fertilization to host plant on incidence of *S. spinki*. Five level of nitrogen 40, 80, 100, 120 and 140 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> was applied in three splits, as basal dose (40%), at tillering stage (40%) and at panicle initiation stage (20%) in form of Ammonium sulphate. Phosphorus was applied as basal dose in all the plots

@ 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The plots were kept free from pesticide application throughout the crop period. Mite population and intensity of damage was observed at dough stage by sampling 10 leaf sheaths randomly from mite infested plants from each spots. The samples were brought to the laboratory in polythene bags. The number of eggs and other active stages of the mite present in leaf sheaths were observed under binocular microscope and the length of discoloured area on leaf sheath were measured. Such observations were recorded at weekly intervals starting from 15 days after transplanting to maturity.

The highest length of discolored area of leaf sheath (11.74) was observed in plots where 140 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied whereas in the control (Table 1). (0 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) it was lowest (5.50cm) which was at par with the application of 40 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The number of egg laid was significantly higher (16.16 leaf sheath<sup>-1</sup>) when applied in the plot 140 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Number of eggs laid leaf sheath<sup>-1</sup> was reduced significantly at the dose 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (13.67 leaf sheath<sup>-1</sup>). The highest population of mites (37.19 leaf sheath<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with 140 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded significantly than all other treatments.

The treatment of 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly highest grain yield (5.04 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), followed

□ **161** □

Table 1. Effect of nitrogen levels on the incidence of S. spinki during wet season

Treatment Mean no of eggs/feaf sheath leaf sheath leaf stage / leaf sheath lea						•		0								
1st year         2st year         Pooled         1st year         1st year         2st year         2st year         2st year         2st year         2st year         2st year		Mean no	o of eggs/l	leaf sheath	Mean no leaf shea	of mobile th	stage /	Mean ler discolore	ngth of ed area		Total no. leaf shea	of mites/ tth			Yield t ha	1
02.82*  03.03*  02.92*  04.19*  04.42*  04.31*  02.46*  02.74*  02.66*  04.90*  05.24*  05.07*  2.96*  3.02*    08.18  07.53  (16.56) (18.54) (17.58) (05.05)*  (06.51) (06.51) (05.76) (23.01) (26.46) (24.70) (26.45) (24.70) (26.45) (26.75) (26.24) (26.27) (26.45) (26.47) (26.45) (26.47) (26.		1st year	2nd year	Pooled	1st year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year		1st year	2nd year			2nd year	Pooled	1st year	2nd year	Pooled
03.01°         03.14°         03.07°         04.40°         04.62°         02.56°         02.82°         05.64         05.25         05.24         05.35         3.02         3.07           08.06°         08.86°         08.86°         08.86         (08.42)         (18.36)         (20.34)         (05.55)         (06.95)         (06.25)         (28.92)         (27.62)         3.09         3.07           103.8°         03.86°         03.53°         04.84°         05.41°         05.12°         02.93°         03.16°         03.04°         05.79         06.45         06.12         3.69         4.00         4	-	02.82 <sup>ab</sup> (08.18)		02.92 <sup>ab</sup> (16.56)	04.19 <sup>b</sup> (18.54)	04.42a (17.58)	04.31 <sup>b</sup> (05.05)*	$02.46^{ab}$ (06.51)		02.60a (23.01)	04.90 (26.46)	05.24 (24.70)	05.07	2.96	3.02	2.99 <sup>d</sup>
1 03.38°         03.68°         03.53°         04.84°         05.11°         05.39°         03.04°         05.79         06.45         06.12         3.69         4.00           (10.42)         (12.54)         (11.46)         (22.43)         (28.27)         (25.21)         (07.58)         (08.99)         (08.24)         (36.45)         66.45         66.45         66.45         40.60         36.45         4.00           (10.42)         (12.54)         (11.46)         (25.21)         (07.58)         (08.34°         06.34°         06.47°         (10.09)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (40.34)         (47.86)         44.02         44.02         5.08           (12.54)         (14.92)         (13.67)         (28.05)         (10.14)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (10.16)         (10.17)         (10.17)         (10.17)         (10.17)         (10.17)         (10.17)         (10.17)         (10.17)         (10.17)         (10.17)         (10.18)         (20.23)         (20.23)         (20.23)         (20.23)         (20.23)         (20.23)         (20.23)         (20.23)         (20.23)         (20.2	7	03.01 <sup>b</sup> (08.06)		03.07 <sup>b</sup> (08.42)	04.40 <sup>b</sup> (18.36)	04.62 <sup>a</sup> (20.34)	04.51 <sup>b</sup> (19.34)	02.56 <sup>b</sup> (05.55)		02.69 <sup>a</sup> (06.24)	05.22 (26.25)	05.47 (28.92)	05.35 (27.62)	3.02	3.07	3.05 <sup>d</sup>
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathbf{a}^{-1}$	03.38° (10.42)		03.53° (11.46)	04.84° (22.43)	05.41 <sup>b</sup> (28.27)	05.12° (25.21)	02.93° (07.58)		03.04 <sup>b</sup> (08.24)	05.79 (32.52)	06.45 (40.60)	06.12 (36.45)	3.69	4.00	$3.84^{\circ}$
1         03.92 <sup>d</sup> 04.47 <sup>d</sup> 04.19 <sup>e</sup> 05.89 <sup>e</sup> 06.47 <sup>e</sup> 06.18 <sup>e</sup> 03.53 <sup>e</sup> 03.57 <sup>d</sup> 06.99         07.78         07.39         4.40         4.55           (18.98)         (16.56)         (33.69)         (40.86)         (37.19)         (11.46)         (12.03)         (11.74)         (47.86)         (59.53)         (53.61)           02.68 <sup>a</sup> (16.56)         (33.69)         (40.86)         (11.46)         (12.03)         (11.74)         (47.86)         (59.53)         (53.61)         (4.75)         (23.61)           02.68 <sup>a</sup> (02.79)         (02.79)         (03.74)         (04.81)         (06.24)         (05.50)         (19.07)         (24.20)         (21.56)         2.90         2.91           0.280         (05.41)         (04.81)         (06.24)         (05.00)         (19.07)         (24.20)         (21.56)         2.90         2.91           0.106         0.114         0.148         0.214         0.050         0.070         0.050         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156         0.156	$\mathbf{a}^{-1}$	$03.68^{d}$ (12.54)		03.83 <sup>d</sup> (13.67)	05.39 <sup>d</sup> (28.05)	05.84 <sup>b</sup> (33.11)	05.61 <sup>d</sup> (30.47)	$03.33^{d}$ (10.09)		$03.34^{\circ}$ (10.16)	06.43 (40.34)	06.99 (47.86)	06.71 (44.02)	4.99	5.08	$5.04^{a}$
02.68*         02.90*         02.79*         03.75*         04.24*         04.00*         02.41*         02.69*         02.55*         04.48         05.02         04.75         2.90         2.91           06.18*         (06.18*)         (07.41)         (06.78)         (13.06)         (16.98)         (15.00)         (04.81)         (06.24)         (05.50)         (19.07)         (24.20)         (21.56)         2.90         2.91           0.280         0.343         0.261         0.472         0.299         0.193         0.140         0.208         0.443         0.576         0.362         211.25         289.69           0.106         0.114         0.148         0.141         0.184         0.214         0.196         0.070         0.050         0.156         0.252         -         -         -           0.298         0.322         0.427         0.396         0.515         0.194         0.196         0.138         0.438         0.583         0.727         -         -           -         -         0.110         -         0.164         -         -         0.070         -         -         0.184         -         -         -         -         -         -	<b>a</b> -1	03.92 <sup>d</sup> (18.98)	04.47 <sup>d</sup> (16.56)	04.19° (33.69)	05.89° (40.86)	06.47° (37.19)	06.18° (11.46)	03.53° (12.03)		03.57 <sup>d</sup> (47.86)	06.99	07.78 (53.61)	07.39	4.40	4.55	4.48 <sup>b</sup>
0.280         0.343         0.261         0.379         0.472         0.290         0.193         0.140         0.208         0.443         0.576         0.362         211.25         289.69           0.106         0.114         0.148         0.141         0.184         0.214         0.069         0.070         0.050         0.156         0.252         -         -         -           0.298         0.322         0.427         0.396         0.515         0.619         0.194         0.196         0.138         0.438         0.583         0.727         -         -           -         0.110         -         0.164         -         -         0.070         -         -         0.184         -		$02.68^{a}$ (06.18)	$02.90^{a}$ $(07.41)$	02.79 <sup>a</sup> (06.78)	$03.75^{a}$ (13.06)	04.24 <sup>a</sup> (16.98)	$04.00^{a}$ (15.00)	$02.41^{a}$ (04.81)		$02.55^{a}$ $(05.50)$	04.48 (19.07)	05.02 (24.20)	04.75 (21.56)	2.90	2.91	2.91 <sup>d</sup>
0.106 0.114 0.148 0.141 0.184 0.214 0.069 0.070 0.050 0.156 0.208 0.252	2	0.280	0.343	0.261	0.379	0.472	0.290	0.193	0.140	0.208	0.443	0.576	0.362	211.25	289.69	168.36
0.298 0.322 0.427 0.396 0.515 0.619 0.194 0.196 0.138 0.438 0.583 0.727 0.110 - 0.164 - 0.070 - 0.070 - 0.184 NS - 0.460 NS - 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516	_:	0.106	0.114	0.148	0.141	0.184	0.214	690.0	0.070	0.050	0.156	0.208	0.252	1	1	1
0.110 0.164 0.070 0.184 NS 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516 0.516	5)	0.298	0.322	.0427	0.396	0.515	0.619	0.194	0.196	0.138	0.438	0.583	0.727	1	1	1
- NS - 0.516 0.516	۲.	1	1	0.110	ı	ı	0.164	ı	1	0.070	1	1	0.184	1	1	1
	CD (P=0.05)	ı	1	NS	ı	1	0.460	ı	1	SN	1	1	0.516	1	1	NS

\* Figures in parentheses are 8q root retransformed values; those out side are  $\sqrt{X+1}$  transformed means

Figures with the same letters are at par in the respective column

by the treatments  $140 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}(4.48 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$  and  $100 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}(3.84 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$ . Remaining treatments *i.e.* 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (3.05 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 40 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (2.99 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded lowest grain yield and comparable to untreated control (2.91 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The present results indicated that rice crop without the application of chemical fertilizers had significantly lower number of mites than fertilized ones. It showed that the growth of rice plants as influenced by nitrogen levels had a definite bearing on mite incidence. Such phenomenon of increase in sucking pests including mites on rice crop with increase of nitrogen was reported by Rao *et al.*, (1999).

## **REFERENCES**

- Balasubramanian P, Palaniappan SP and Gopalan M 1983. Effect of carbofuran and nitrogen on leaf folder incidence. *IRRI.NSL* 8: 13-14
- Doval SL, Bohra OP and Sharma SK 1974. Response of NPK and varieties of wheat to population of *Petrobia latens* (Muller), *Ann. Arid Zone*, **13**: 47-50
- Rao J Prakash A and Ghosh SK 1999. "Mites in rice ecosystem". Pub. Applied Zoologists Research Association, Div.of Entomology, CRRI, Cuttack, India, pp.98